

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Attorney Docket No. 08-880-US5)

In re the Application of:)	
)	
David C. Gelvin et al.)	Examiner: Benjamin R. Bruckart
)	
Serial No.: 09/684,706)	Confirmation No.: 2275
)	
Filed: October 4, 2000)	Art Unit: 2446
)	
For: Apparatus for Internetworked Wireless)	
Integrated Network Sensors (WINS))	

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT MAILED JUNE 25, 2009

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement mailed June 25, 2009, Applicant hereby elects claims 1-32, 34-63, 65-79, 83, 92, 94, 101, 103, and 112-119.

The Examiner's remarks that accompanied the restriction requirement stated Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer but has not made suggested changed to certain independent claims to put the case in condition for allowance, and the provisional double patenting rejection will likely remain on claim group I as it will not be the only rejection on that set of claims.

In Applicant's response filed April 16, 2009, the Applicant identified reasons why the double patenting rejection will be the only remaining rejection for the claims in this application. Applicant requests the Examiner to fully consider those reasons prior to the issuance of a further Office Action. Applicant invites the Examiner to phone the undersigned representative to resolve

any questions that might remain. In view of the prior comments filed April 16, Applicant respectfully believes the double patenting rejection should be withdrawn pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 804 I.B.

Finally, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge any required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 132490, and to treat any communication in this matter that requires an extension of time as incorporating a request for such an extension.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Dated: July 27, 2009

By: /David L. Ciesielski/
David L. Ciesielski
Reg. No. 57,432